

Question Test 2/1

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

Do not write on either margin

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II (a)} \quad \rho(\vec{r}, t) &= |\psi(\vec{r}, t)|^2 \\ &= \left[\epsilon^2 + (\vec{c} \cdot \vec{r})^2 + 2\epsilon \vec{c} \cdot \vec{r} \cos(\omega t) \right] e^{-k^2 r^2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{j}(\vec{r}, t) &= \frac{\hbar}{M} \text{Im} \left(\psi(\vec{r}, t)^* \vec{\nabla} \psi(\vec{r}, t) \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{M} \text{Im} \left((\epsilon + \vec{c} \cdot \vec{r} e^{i\omega t}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2 r^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[(\vec{c} e^{-i\omega t} - (\epsilon + \vec{c} \cdot \vec{r} e^{-i\omega t}) k^2 \vec{r} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2 r^2}) \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{M} \text{Im} \left(\epsilon \vec{c} e^{-i\omega t} e^{-k^2 r^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\hbar}{M} \epsilon \vec{c} \sin(\omega t) e^{-k^2 r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho = -2\omega \epsilon \vec{c} \cdot \vec{r} \sin(\omega t) e^{-k^2 r^2},$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} = 2 \frac{\hbar k^2}{M} \epsilon \vec{c} \cdot \vec{r} \sin(\omega t) e^{-k^2 r^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} &= 2 \left(-\omega + \frac{\hbar k^2}{M} \right) \epsilon \vec{c} \cdot \vec{r} \sin(\omega t) e^{-k^2 r^2} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

implies $\boxed{\hbar k^2 = M\omega}$ or $\hbar\omega = \frac{(\hbar k)^2}{M}$.

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

2 (a) According to the notes, these expressions are valid for $x \leq 0$ and $x \geq L$, respectively, but here the force acts only at $x = L/2$, so that they continue to be valid also for $0 \leq x \leq L/2$ and $L/2 \leq x \leq L$.

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad \phi(k, x = \frac{L}{2} - 0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\phi_+(k, 0) e^{i k L/2} + \phi_-(k, 0) e^{-i k L/2} \right) \\ &= \phi(k, x = \frac{L}{2} + 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \left(\phi_+(k, L) e^{-i k L/2} + \phi_-(k, L) e^{i k L/2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

gives

$$\underbrace{\phi_+(k, L)}_{\text{"out"}} - \underbrace{\phi_-(k, 0)}_{\text{"in"}} = \left[\underbrace{\phi_+(k, 0)}_{\text{"in"}} - \underbrace{\phi_-(k, L)}_{\text{"out"}} \right] e^{i k L}$$

(c) $\phi(k, x)$ obeys the differential equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + k^2 - \frac{2M}{\hbar^2} V(x) \right] \phi(k, x) = 0, \text{ which}$$

for the given $V(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + k^2 \right) \phi(k, x) &= -\frac{2}{a} \delta(x - \frac{L}{2}) \phi(k, x) \\ &= -\frac{2}{a} \delta(x - \frac{L}{2}) \phi(k, \frac{L}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

The δ function on the right implies that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi$ has a discontinuous "jump" of $-\frac{2}{a} \phi(k, \frac{L}{2})$ at $x = \frac{L}{2}$, that is

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(k, \frac{L}{2} + 0) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(k, \frac{L}{2} - 0) = -\frac{2}{a} \phi(k, \frac{L}{2}).$$

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= i\sqrt{k} \left(\phi_+(k, L) e^{-ikL/2} - \phi_-(k, L) e^{ikL/2} \right) \\ &\quad - i\sqrt{k} \left(\phi_+(k, 0) e^{ikL/2} - \phi_-(k, 0) e^{-ikL/2} \right) \\ &= i\sqrt{k} \left[\left(\phi_+(k, L) + \phi_-(k, 0) \right) e^{-ikL/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\phi_+(k, 0) + \phi_-(k, L) \right) e^{ikL/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= -\frac{1}{a} \phi(k, x = \frac{L}{2} + 0) - \frac{1}{a} \phi(k, x = \frac{L}{2} - 0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{a\sqrt{k}} \left[\left(\phi_+(k, L) + \phi_-(k, 0) \right) e^{-ikL/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\phi_+(k, 0) + \phi_-(k, L) \right) e^{ikL/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

So that LHS = RHS gives

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + ika) \underbrace{\left[\phi_+(k, L) + \phi_-(k, 0) \right]}_{\text{"out"}} \\ = - (1 - ika) \underbrace{\left[\phi_+(k, 0) + \phi_-(k, L) \right]}_{\text{"in"}} e^{ikL} \end{aligned}$$

(d) Here $k(x) = k$ for $x < \frac{L}{2}$ and $x > \frac{L}{2}$, so that

$$\alpha = \int_0^L dx k(x) = kL, \quad e^{i\alpha} = e^{ikL},$$

and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+(k, L) \\ \phi_-(k, 0) \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ \mu & \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+(k, 0) \\ \phi_-(k, L) \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mu = -\frac{1-ika}{1+ika} = \frac{ka+i}{ka-i}$ for the two relations from (b) and (c)

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

Multiply by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to solve for the "out" components, which gives

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ \mu & \mu \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mu+1 & \mu-1 \\ \mu-1 & \mu+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu a}{\mu a - i} & \frac{i}{\mu a - i} \\ \frac{i}{\mu a - i} & \frac{\mu a}{\mu a - i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 (a) We have $\text{prob}(g \rightarrow g, t) = 1 - \left(\frac{\delta E}{\hbar} t\right)^2$ with

$$\delta E = \sqrt{\langle g | H_1^2 | g \rangle - \langle g | H_1 | g \rangle^2}, \text{ where}$$

$H_1 |g\rangle = |e\rangle \hbar \Omega$, so that $\langle g | H_1 | g \rangle = 0$
and $\langle g | H_1^2 | g \rangle = (\hbar \Omega)^2$. It follows that
 $\delta E = \hbar \Omega$ and $\gamma = \delta E / \hbar = \Omega$.

(b) Since $\sigma = |g\rangle\langle e|$, $\sigma^\dagger = |e\rangle\langle g|$, $\sigma^\dagger \sigma = |e\rangle\langle e|$,
we have

$$\sigma (\sigma^\dagger \sigma) = \sigma \text{ so that } f(\sigma^\dagger \sigma) = \sigma f(1),$$

$$(\sigma^\dagger \sigma) \sigma^\dagger = \sigma^\dagger \text{ so that } f(\sigma^\dagger \sigma) \sigma^\dagger = f(1) \sigma^\dagger,$$

$$\sigma^\dagger (\sigma^\dagger \sigma) = 0 \text{ so that } \sigma^\dagger f(\sigma^\dagger \sigma) = \sigma^\dagger f(0),$$

$$\text{and } (\sigma^\dagger \sigma) \sigma = 0 \text{ so that } f(\sigma^\dagger \sigma) \sigma = f(0) \sigma.$$

Therefore

$$H_1(t) = e^{i\omega t \sigma^\dagger \sigma} \hbar \Omega (\sigma^\dagger + \sigma) e^{-i\omega t \sigma^\dagger \sigma}$$

$$= \hbar \Omega (e^{i\omega t} \sigma^\dagger + \sigma e^{-i\omega t}).$$

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

(c) The probability amplitude for $g \rightarrow e$ to first order in Ω is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T dt \langle e | H_1(t) | g \rangle \\
 & = -i\Omega \int_0^T dt \left(\underbrace{\langle e | \sigma^+ | g \rangle}_{=1} e^{i\omega t} + \underbrace{\langle e | \sigma^- | g \rangle}_{=0} e^{-i\omega t} \right) \\
 & = -i\Omega \frac{e^{i\omega T} - 1}{i\omega} = -\frac{\Omega}{\omega} e^{i\omega T/2} \underbrace{\left(e^{i\omega T/2} - e^{-i\omega T/2} \right)}_{2i \sin \frac{\omega T}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the probability is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{prob}(g \rightarrow e, T) & = 4 \frac{\Omega^2}{\omega^2} \left(\sin \frac{\omega T}{2} \right)^2 \\
 & = (\Omega T)^2 \left(\frac{\sin \frac{\omega T}{2}}{\omega T/2} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxed{4} (a) \quad f_+(\vec{k}', \vec{k}) & = -\frac{M}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int (d\vec{r}) e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{r}} V(\vec{r}-\vec{a}) \\
 & = -\frac{M}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int (d\vec{r}) e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot (\vec{r}+\vec{a})} V(\vec{r}) \\
 & = e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a}} f(\vec{k}', \vec{k})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so that } \frac{d\sigma_+}{d\Omega} = |f_+(\vec{k}', \vec{k})|^2 = |f(\vec{k}', \vec{k})|^2 = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}.$$

$$(b) \text{ likewise } f_-(\vec{k}', \vec{k}) = e^{-i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a}} f(\vec{k}', \vec{k})$$

$$\text{and } \frac{d\sigma_-}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}.$$

Write answers on this side of the paper only.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(c) Here } f_2(\vec{k}, \vec{k}') &= f_+(\vec{k}', \vec{k}) + f_-(\vec{k}', \vec{k}) \\
 &= \left(e^{i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a}} + e^{-i(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a}} \right) f(\vec{k}', \vec{k}) \\
 &= 2 \cos((\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a}) f(\vec{k}', \vec{k})
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\sigma_2}{d\Omega} &= |f_2(\vec{k}', \vec{k})|^2 \\
 &= 4 \left(\cos((\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a}) \right)^2 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}
 \end{aligned}$$

We get strong enhancement (factor 4) for \vec{k}' such that $(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a} = 0, \pm\pi, \pm 2\pi, \dots$ (constructive interference) and complete cancellation for \vec{k}' such that $(\vec{k}-\vec{k}') \cdot \vec{a} = \pm\pi/2, \pm 3\pi/2, \dots$ (destructive interference).